IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

March 28, 2007

F	OR	THE	EASTERN	DIST	RICT	OF	TEXA	3
		ľ	MARSHALL	DIVI	SION			
VISTO CORE	PORA	OITA	N,)				
		•)				
	Pla	aint	iff,)				
)				
vs.)	Civi	l A	ction	No.
)	2:05	-CV	-546	(DJF)
MICROSOFT	CO	RPOR	ATION,)				
)				
	De	fend	ant.)		\approx		200
)		ا م	(<u>)</u> /	ク YY
					•		─ □	u

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY/CONFIDENTIAL
VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF HONG BUI
Costa Mesa, California
Wednesday, March 28, 2007

Reported by:
Gale M. Lucas, RMR, CRR, CLR
CSR No. 7899
L.A. JOB NO. 637003

_	
	CHARLE DIGERICE COURT
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3	MARSHALL DIVISION
4	
5	VISTO CORPORATION,)
)
6	Plaintiff,)
)
7	vs.) Civil Action No.
) 2:05-CV-546 (DJF)
8	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,)
)
9	Defendant.)
)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY/CONFIDENTIAL VIDEOTAPED
15	DEPOSITION of HONG BUI, taken on behalf of the
16	Defendant, at 695 Town Center Drive, 14th Floor,
17	Costa Mesa, California, beginning at 10:22 a.m.
18	and ending at 4:25 p.m., on Wednesday, March 28,
19	2007, before Gale M. Lucas, RPR, RMR, CRR, CLR,
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 7899.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 3 March 28, 2007

HONG BUI

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
2
3
     For Plaintiff and Deponent:
             MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
4
                   SHAWN G. HANSEN, ESQ.
              1001 Page Mill Road
5
             Building 2
6
              Palo Alto, California 94304
              (650) 812-1367
7
              shansen@manatt.com
     For Plaintiff:
             MCKOOL SMITH, APC
10
                   ERICK ROBINSON, ESQ.
              300 Crescent Court
11
              Suite 1500
             Dallas, Texas
                              75201
12
              (214) 978-4063
              erobinson@mckoolsmith.com
13
     For Defendant:
              WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP
15
              BY: ZACHARIAH S. HARRINGTON, ESQ.
16
              700 Louisiana
              Suite 1600
17
              Houston, Texas
                               77002
              (713) 547-5071
              zachariah.harrington@weil.com
18
19
     Also present:
20
              Daniel Reynolds, Videographer
21
23
24
25
```

	l	
	1	THE WITNESS: Sure.
	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at
	3	11:20 a.m.
	4	(A recess was held.)
11:43:20	5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
	6	record at 11:44 a.m.
	7	MR. HARRINGTON: I'm marking as Bui
	8	Exhibit 5 a copy of U.S. Patent No. 6,708,221 B1.
	9	I'm going to refer to this exhibit as the
11:44:16	10	'221 patent.
	11	(Defendant's Exhibit 5 was marked
	12	for identification and is bound
	13	under separate cover.)
	14	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
11:44:22	15	Q. Mr. Bui, do you recognize this document?
	16	A. Yes.
	17	Q. How do you recognize this document?
	18	A. It's a patent owned by Visto.
	19	Q. And do you see your name on this document?
11:44:43	20	A. Yes.
	21	Q. Are you one of the named inventors of this
	22	patent?
	23	A. Yes.
	24	Q. Can you tell me what the invention of the
11:44:55	25	'221 patent was?

	- 1	
	1	Q. So you had a substantial input into the
	2	development of the company?
	3	A. I would hope so.
	4	Q. Can we go back to Bui Exhibit 5, to the
12:08:58	5	last page, Claim 1.
	6	A. Uh-huh.
	7	Q. I would like to go through Claim 1 and
	8	determine what it was, if anything if you can
	9	determine which particular part of this was
12:09:13	10	inventive.
	11	A. Okay.
	12	Q. Do you recall if prior to filing the '221
	13	patent, if anyone was storing first workspace data
	14	on a first device? Were people doing that prior to
12:09:29	15	your invention?
	16	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
	17	THE WITNESS: Storing the first workspace
	18	data on the first devices? I would assume most
	19	computer have that capability.
12:09:39	20	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	21	Q. Okay. So that's old; correct?
	22	A. Yeah.
	23	Q. So storing first workspace data on a first
	24	device, you don't consider that inventive; correct?
12:09:48	25	A. No.

•	1	Q. When you say "no," you mean that isn't
	2	inventive; yes?
	3	A. Storing the first workspace data on the
	4	first devices, no, it's not inventive.
12:09:59	5	Q. Thank you.
	6	Can you go to the next element, "storing
	7	second workspace data on a second device."
	8	Would you consider that element to be
	9	inventive?
12:10:08	10	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
	11	THE WITNESS: Same as the first one.
	12	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	13	Q. Not inventive?
	14	A. Yeah.
12:10:14	15	Q. So you knew of other people or other
	16	entities prior to filing the '221 patent that were
	17	storing second workspace data on second devices;
	18	correct?
	19	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
12:10:27	20	THE WITNESS: Yeah. If you use a second
	21	computer, you store your data on the second
	22	computer.
	23	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	24	Q. Okay. The third element, determining
12:10:36	25	differences between the first workspace data and

	1	the second workspace data.
	2	Were you aware of other entities
	3	performing this step prior to your filing the '221
	4	patent?
12:10:49	5	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
	6	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	7	Q. Let me clarify. When I say "prior to your
	8	filing," I mean prior to 1996, because this patent
	9	relies on other applications up to 1996 for
12:11:01	10	priority.
	11	So prior to 19 when this application
	12	claims priority in 1996, were you aware of other
	13	people that were determining differences between
	14	the first workspace data and the second workspace
12:11:16	15	data?
	16	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
	17	THE WITNESS: This one right there has
	18	become vague because there are various technology
	19	out there that can determine the difference of
12:11:34	20	content between two different set of data.
	21	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	22	Q. So this was being done prior to 1996;
	23	correct?
	24	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
12:11:42	25	THE WITNESS: I would assume so.

	1	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	2	Q. I mean, wouldn't anything that's doing
	3	synchronization perform this step?
	4	A. Yeah.
12:11:52	5	Q. So this step was being done prior to 1996;
	6	correct?
	7	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
	8	THE WITNESS: The idea of doing between
	9	the two, synchronize the data, determine the
12:12:04	10	different content between the one workspace to
	11	another, yes, some of the product out there. One
	12	that came to mind was Intellisync.
	13	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	14	Q. Okay. So prior to 1996, this step,
12:12:15	15	determining differences between first workspace
	16	data and second workspace data, was being performed
	17	at least by Intellisync; correct?
	18	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
	19	THE WITNESS: It's some idea of the
12:12:27	20	concept around that; but yeah, some of the product
	21	out there
	22	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	23	Q. As you sit here today reading this, you
	24	would think that Intellisync was performing the
12:12:36	25	step of determining differences between the first

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

12:12:44

12:13:09 10

12:13:24 15

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY CONFIDENTIAL

workspace data and the second workspace data;
correct?
 A. Yeah.

MR. HANSEN: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: But the workspace is pretty broad, because the workspace -- if -- the way I understand it, that includes your files, your bookmark, your -- whatever the content you have on your system, your personal information management.

Intellisync only sync between the personal-information content. But they don't do your file, they don't do your bookmark, and they don't do anything else except they only synchronize the differences between a calendar, the differences between contacts; right? So they only solve a very small part of this solution; right?

BY MR. HARRINGTON:

O. So --

- A. So if you say the workspace, then
 Intellisync not doing that; but if you say -determine a difference of data between two
 address-book content, then they did that at the
 time.
- Q. Okay. As you read this, would you assume that workspace data would include personal

12:13:49 25

12:13:37 20

	1	information management data?
	2	A. Personal information data is a subset of
	3	it.
	4	Q. Okay. So Intellisync would have practiced
12:14:01	5	determining differences between the first workspace
	6	data and the second workspace data?
	7	A. Not workspace, but more like your personal
	8	information.
	9	Q. Which is a subset of workspace data;
12:14:14	10	correct?
	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. So if it's a subset, then it would have to
	13	have practiced that; correct?
	14	A. Not have to have practiced that. At that
12:14:19	15	time, to my knowledge, all they do is just
	16	synchronize between the two personal information.
	17	Q. But
	18	A. But they don't do any file syncing or
	19	bookmark or anything else.
12:14:35	20	Q. Okay. If first workspace data means or
	21	includes personal information management data, then
	22	Intellisync practiced the third limitation of
	23	Claim 1; correct?
	24	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
12:14:49	25	THE WITNESS: I don't but depend how

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY CONFIDENTIAL

server -- let me strike that. 1 Would it surprise you to know that Lotus 2 Notes was practicing all of the elements of Claim 1 3 in 1996? 12:26:10 Object to form. MR. HANSEN: 6 THE WITNESS: Before I answer your 7 questions, I just make my own statement, that I'm 8 in the business, software business for 20 years, so 9 nothing anyone do surprise me. 12:26:27 10 So having say that, whatever people do different thing underneath is all in the realm of 11 12 possibility. MR. HARRINGTON: I would like to mark as 13 14 Bui Exhibit 7 a one-page document Bates-labeled 12:27:00 15 VOMS 011711. (Defendant's Exhibit 7 was marked 16 17 for identification and is bound 18 under separate cover.) 19 BY MR. HARRINGTON: 12:27:24 20 Do you recognize this document? Ο. 21 Α. No. If you will go down to the portion that's 22 Q. 23 labeled "IMAP." 24 Α. Yes. 12:27:34 25 And read that sentence to yourself, or ο.

	Γ	
	1	read the two sentences that are in the next IMAP
	2	A. "IMAP is still experiencing a
	3	fairly long rollout/development cycle.
	4	I think we'll be seeing plenty of POP3
12:27:52	5	servers for at least the next year."
	6	Q. If you look at the top of the page or
	7	right underneath the text boxes here, it says it
	8	references a date Q1 1997.
	. 9	A. Uh-huh.
12:28:08	10	Q. Before Q1 1997, were you aware of IMAP?
	11	A. Yes.
	12	Q. And how were you aware of IMAP?
	13	A. It's cool. I believe
	14	Q. I'm sorry. It was cool?
12:28:22	15	A. Uh-huh. It's kind of one of those
	16	emerging technologies that allowed you to the
	17	ability for you to read e-mails and keep a lot of
	18	those e-mails in sync.
	19	Q. Do IMAP synchronize e-mails?
12:28:52	20	A. It does synchronize the e-mail.
	21	Q. If you were using IMAP with a server on
	22	two separate computers to read your e-mail on two
	23	separate computers from the e-mail server
	24	A. Uh-huh.
12:29:19	25	Q could you tell me if that would be

	ſ	
	1	covered by Claim 1 of the '221 patent?
	2	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
	3	THE WITNESS: Well, I cannot interpret the
	4	patents, what cover or not cover. But IMAP is
12:29:34	5	from the product point of view, is different
	6	things. For example, you can read e-mail from the
	7	IMAP, and then you go home and read the e-mail from
	8	an IMAP system at home.
	9	The IMAP keep track of what content you
12:30:01	10	have read or you haven't read, you know, and
	11	synchronize within itself in its own server; right?
	12	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	13	Q. (Nods head.)
	14	A. So it's a very centralized process. It's
12:30:13	15	not a distributing process. So therefore, the a
	16	little bit different solution.
	17	Q. So you're saying the only difference
	18	between IMAP using IMAP and a server on two
	19	computers and Visto system is the Visto system is
12:30:40	20	distributed more?
	21	A. No. It's if you talk about you talk
	22	about many different things.
	23	The IMAP, it's basically what you do is
	24	you just use a client here and client here, and one
12:30:57	25	client you read the e-mail and its server, and it's

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY CONFIDENTIAL

read, it mark that you have read this e-mail. 1 And when you go home, you log into this 2 IMAP server, and it also mark it as you have read 3 this e-mail; right? 4 12:31:17 5 0. Uh-huh. So therefore, it's an aggregate of all 6 Α. your e-mail on the server, but it's -- all your 7 e-mail for Visto, we only keep the differences; 8 So we don't keep everything. We only keep 9 the differences between the two. So in term of the 12:31:38 10 product solution, it's different; right? 11 And it's the second part that -- and the 12 IMAPs only do e-mail, and we do everything -- you 13 know, your contact, your bookmarks, your files and 14 So IMAP itself --12:31:56 15 all the other different things. you know, it only do -- solve the problem for 16 17 e-mails. So would you say that at least for just 18 e-mails, if Claim 1 was just covering e-mails 19 instead of workspace data, would you say that in 12:32:21 20 the Claim 1 of the '221 patent would be covered 21 by -- let me strike that. 22

12:32:39 25

23

24

Would IMAP be covered by Claim 1 of the '221 patent if Claim 1 was only referring to

e-mails and not workspace data?

	1	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
	2	THE WITNESS: I can't speak legally. From
	3	the product guide point of view, I think totally
	4	different way of how you execute and things.
12:32:59	5	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	6	Q. Can you tell me what in the claim do
	7	you remember the claim we were just talking about?
	8	Can you tell me if you want to flip back to
	9	Exhibit 5.
12:33:09	10	A. It's the No. 1 we were referring to,
	11	storing the differences at the global server?
	12	Q. Yeah.
	13	A. Right. And so that what Visto did, right,
	14	here for IMAP what it does is store everything
12:33:23	15	you have there, right, including the differences.
	16	So it's two different implementation and
	17	executions.
	18	Q. So IMAP would store the differences?
	19	A. And everything, the aggregate of
12:33:40	20	everything.
	21	Q. So this actual the language here
	22	doesn't require anything about the aggregate. It
	23	just says "storing differences at the global
	24	server." And so a server would store the
12:33:50	25	differences between those two between the two

		Jaka alamonta uging the IMAD, correct?
	1	data elements using the IMAP; correct?
	2	A. But I would argue that they since they
	3	store everything, that also include the
	4	differences; right?
12:34:02	5	Q. Yeah.
	6	A. If that's the case, then if Visto would
	7	store everything you ever have on your computer,
	8	everything on the global server and everything you
	9	have at home in central area, maybe, maybe not,
12:34:16	10	where you can have the debate. But in this case,
	11	IMAP, it just store everything you ever have, so
	12	that also include the differences.
	13	Q. Okay. So
	14	A. So on the IMAP, also, that you your
12:34:31	15	inability to have, you know you have to have
	16	everything stored on the IMAP local server.
	17	Q. So just reading Claim 1 literally, is
	18	there any element of Claim 1 that is not practiced
	19	by IMAP?
12:34:47	20	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
	21	THE WITNESS: That's not practiced by
	22	IMAP?
	23	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	24	Q. Yeah.
12:35:05	25	A. Sending the differences from the global

	1	server to the second device.
	2	Q. And why would that element not be
	3	practiced by IMAP?
	4	A. Because IMAP doesn't send the differences.
12:35:28	5	It send you everything.
	6	Q. But wouldn't the differences be included
	7	in that everything when you're sending it?
	8	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
	9	THE WITNESS: I don't know. It depend on
12:35:37	10	your semantic, how you would like to use it.
	11	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	12	Q. Is it possible
	13	A. But to me, they're sending differences,
	14	only the differences.
12:35:44	15	Q. Okay.
	16	A. So if you yeah, that's exactly what it
	17	says, sending the differences. It's the
	18	differences; right? Whereas for IMAP, it send
	19	everything.
12:36:01	20	Q. Are you aware of Visto's constant sync
	21	technology?
	22	A. Is it something new or
	23	Q. It's something that Visto markets as
	24	their as a technology that's incorporated in
12:36:26	25	their current product.

	1	
	1	A. I don't know what it is, because if they
	2	call it constant sync I have no knowledge of
	3	what's called constant sync at the time when I was
	4	there; so therefore, that's I cannot speak to
12:36:41	5	that.
	6	Q. Okay. Were you aware of any Visto system
	7	that didn't send differences, but, in fact, sent
	8	the entire changes from the global server?
	9	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
12:36:54	10	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat your question
	11	to make sure I
	12	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	13	Q. Are you aware of any system that of
	14	Visto's that functioned like IMAP, where the
12:37:02	15	differences weren't sent from the global server,
	16	but instead, the entire the entire e-mail or the
	17	entire PIM data was sent?
	18	A. I'm not aware of that.
	19	Q. Okay.
12:37:14	20	A. If it did, it must be a bug.
	21	Q. Why would it be a bug?
	22	A. Because it not inefficient way of going
	23	and doing about it.
	24	Q. So if storing the differences and sending
12:38:10	25	the differences, if that includes sending the

	ſ	
	1	entire document or the entire data file let me
	2	strike that.
	3	So with IMAP, you have an e-mail server;
	4	correct?
12:38:38	5	A. Yes.
	6	Q. And that e-mail server can be accessed by
	7	two different devices; correct?
	8	A. It can be accessed by many devices.
	9	Q. But at least two devices; correct?
12:38:51	10	A. At least one.
	11	Q. But it can be it can the e-mail
	12	server can be accessed by two devices; correct?
	13	A. Yes, it can be accessed by two devices.
	14	Q. Okay. And if you make a change on one of
12:39:07	15	those devices, that change is sent to the e-mail
	16	server; correct?
	17	A. Because IMAP the way I understand how
	18	it works is when you mark the changes, you don't
	19	mark the changes on the client's. You mark the
12:39:30	20	change, and that mark of change notify the server
	21	because the central depository of your information.
	22	So when you do a change on that client,
	23	that change is sent to the server, and the server
	24	mark that this content has been changed.
12:39:44	25	So it doesn't do anything on the client,

	1	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	2	Q. Is this description of synchronization and
	3	IMAP4 the same or different than your understanding
	4	of synchronization in IMAP that we were discussing
14:08:54	5	earlier?
	6	A. The description is pretty much consistent
	7	with what my understanding of it.
	8	Q. Okay. In this description, if you look
	9	down to the last paragraph I had you read starting
14:09:24	10	with the list of actions
	11	A. Uh-huh.
	12	Q it seems to me that that's describing
	13	actual the synchronization of actual messages;
	14	is that correct?
14:09:38	15	A. It's it describe if you delete the
	16	message, you expunge message, yes.
	17	Q. So and that's just in this instance
	18	that they are describing, IMAP4 is synchronizing
	19	actual e-mail messages; correct?
14:09:57	20	A. IMAP is, yes, synchronize the message
	21	because they store in centralized server.
	22	Q. Okay. So when a message is deleted on one
	23	device, it's going to get synchronized to the
	24	server; correct?
14:10:13	25	A. If you delete the message, yeah, it would

	1	notify the server that message had been deleted.
	2	Right.
	3	Q. So notify that there's been changes?
	4	A. There have been changes.
14:10:24	5	Q. So would the changes have been sent to the
	6	server?
	7	A. It's notified that e-mail have been
	8	changes on the server.
	9	Q. So how is that different than sending
14:10:33	10	changes to a server?
	11	A. Because to send, you have to physically
	12	change the message and then send it back; but when
	13	you notify, then the server already have the
	14	message there. So all he does is mark that message
14:10:46	15	has been deleted. So no message actually has been
	16	sent.
	17	Q. But something I mean, I just I guess
	18	I don't understand the distinction between that and
	19	sending differences.
14:11:03	20	Can you explain to me what the distinction
	21	is?
	22	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
	23	THE WITNESS: The difference, from my
	24	engineering background, my so the difference is:
14:11:15	25	So you have A, you have all the content on the

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY CONFIDENTIAL

server, and you read those content from the server 1 on a client, and you mark the message, "I read it"; 2 You mark the message as it has been read. 3 right? Okay? And then -- so no message will be sent 14:11:39 back to the server. All it does is send the б notification to the server that this message has 7 That's it. So -- but no actually of been read. 8 the content of those data are being sent back to 14:11:57 10 the server. And so it's almost like you are an IMAP 11 You just hand me this document; right? 12 What you were saying is when synchronize, saying I 13 read this document, and I sent it back to you 14 (indicating); right? 14:12:26 15 16 BY MR. HARRINGTON: Uh-huh. 17 0. So that is one way that you would keep in 18 A. But in the real world, how IMAP work, 19 sync; right? after I read this message, you are the server. 14:12:42 20 I read it. That's it. No content actually sent 21 22 back to you. So what you're saying is in the '221 23 0. patent, when it talks about sending the 24 differences, you're interpreting that to mean the 14:13:06 25

	- 1	
	1	actual actual delta between A and B is sent, not
	2	something that is an approximation of the delta; is
	3	that right?
	4	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
14:13:22	5	THE WITNESS: I it's different.
	6	So one way to think about the content on
	7	the client list is totally different than the
	8	content on the server; right? So therefore, when I
	9	say I have this document synced to the server,
14:13:42	10	because I'm the server, it doesn't have this piece
	11	of document. So the content is being sent over;
	12	right? So that's the difference.
	13	While for the IMAP cases, I read this
	14	document, or I change it, or I delete it, now I
14:13:56	15	just say, "This guy delete this document."
	16	And the only message is sending back to
	17	the server saying, "This document have been
	18	deleted." And that's it. The e-mail have been
	19	deleted. The e-mail have been read. So that's the
14:14:08	20	difference.
	21	So no real content actually got being sent
	22	back and forth.
	23	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	24	Q. So in Visto's system, when the message is
14:14:19	25	read or deleted, what are the differences that are

	-	
	1	sent that were sent to the global server?
	2	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
	3	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	4	Q. How would Visto's system deal with a read
14:14:36	5	e-mail in any different way than IMAP4 would deal
	6	with it?
	7	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
	8	THE WITNESS: It's been so long ago, I
	9	don't remember how the e-mail would do it. But in
14:14:45	10	terms of files, we actually if that file is not
	11	available on the server, we send the actual files
	12	over.
	13	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	14	Q. Okay. But let's just talk about e-mail
14:14:55	15	because
	16	A. I don't recall how we actually did the
	17	e-mail at the time.
	18	Q. Okay. Can you think of any way in which
	19	you would synchronize e-mail in which you would
14:15:12	20	actually send the differences to the global server?
	21	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.
	22	THE WITNESS: No.
	23	BY MR. HARRINGTON:
	24	Q. So in your opinion, Claim 1 of the '221
14:15:26	25	patent can't relate to e-mail; correct?
	į	

	ļ		See al
	1	(Witness peruses document.)	
	2	BY MR. HARRINGTON:	
	3	Q. Remember, we were just looking at a	
	4	document, an Internet draft that talked about IMAP4	
14:38:59	5	and described the synchronization process.	
	6	A. Uh-huh.	
	7	Q. Can you tell me what limitation of Claim 1	
	8	is not practiced by the IMAP4?	
	9	MR. HANSEN: Object to form.	
14:39:17	10	THE WITNESS: I'm not quite sure I really	
	11	understand your question.	
	12	Can you repeat that to me?	
	13	BY MR. HARRINGTON:	
	14	Q. Earlier in the day, we went through	
14:39:32	15	Claim 1 in reference to IMAP, just regular IMAP,	00120404-14400500
	16	and I believe you said that IMAP didn't store	
	17	differences at a global server; is that correct?	
	18	A. Didn't store the differences?	
	19	Q. Yes.	
14:39:47	20	A. No. They store everything.	
	21	Q. Oh, they store the differences.	
	22	so it didn't send the differences; is that	
	23	correct?	
	24	A. They didn't send the differences. They	C. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
14:39:54	25	just notify of what e-mail have read and what	Section of the sectio
			No. C. Company
			ž

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY CONFIDENTIAL

e-mail have deleted, but they didn't send the 1 actual content back and forth. 2 3 Okay. And that's true, also, the Q. difference between Claim 1 and IMAP4 would also be 4 that the IMAP4 doesn't send the differences from 14:40:11 5 6 the global server; correct? 7 Object to form. MR. HANSEN: I don't know. 8 THE WITNESS: interpret the patent, but you just talk about a 9 14:40:24 10 small aspect of this patent versus the whole IMAP; 11 right? 12 Like I say, this patent does encompass 13 many different parts; right? So therefore, the 14 content synchronizations are different. But even 14:40:40 15 that alone, with different -- different between 16 IMAP, how the first product is being build and how 17 IMAP actually works. 18 BY MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Just as a person who is in this 19 Q. 14:40:52 20 industry and who understands -- has some 21 understanding of IMAP -- and you have an understanding of this patent because you, in fact, were an inventor on this patent -- I want to get 23 that understanding of the difference between 24 14:41:04 25 Claim 1 and IMAP4.